

A Model to Guide Hospice Palliative Care:

**Based on National
Principles and Norms of Practice**



Canadian Hospice Palliative Care Association

Association canadienne de soins palliatifs

March 2002

The national consensus-building process has been supported
by a financial contribution from:

The Population Health Fund, Health Canada

Please note that the views expressed herein do not necessarily represent the official policy of Health Canada.

Production of this guide has been supported
by a financial contribution from:

Purdue Pharma (Canada)

Support for Frank D. Ferris has been provided by:

**The Faculty Scholar Program, Project on Death in America, New York, NY, and
San Diego Hospice, a teaching and research affiliate of the University of
California, San Diego School of Medicine, San Diego, CA, USA**

Support for the Internet-based data collection tool was provided by:

Les Morgan, Growth House Inc.

Reproduction

Permission to reproduce and distribute this document for non-commercial educational purposes is granted when the attribution statement is displayed (as below). For any other uses, please contact the national office of the Canadian Hospice Palliative Care Association.

Attribution/Citation Statement

Ferris FD, Balfour HM, Bowen K, Farley J, Hardwick M, Lamontagne C, Lundy M, Syme A, West P. A Model to Guide Hospice Palliative Care. Ottawa, ON: Canadian Hospice Palliative Care Association, 2002.

Disponible en français sous le titre:

**Modèle de guide des soins palliatifs :
Fondé sur les principes et les normes de pratique nationaux**

For further information, or to obtain additional copies, see the last page of this document.

This document is also available at <http://www.chpca.net>

A Model to Guide Hospice Palliative Care: Based on National Principles and Norms of Practice

Frank D. Ferris, Heather M. Balfour, Karen Bowen, Justine Farley, Marsha Hardwick,
Claude Lamontagne, Marilyn Lundy, Ann Syme, Pamela J. West

Canadian Hospice Palliative Care Association (CHPCA)

Annex B, Saint-Vincent Hospital, 60 Cambridge Street North
Ottawa, Ontario, K1R 7A5

Phone: (613) 241-3663, Toll-free in Canada: (800) 668-2785

E-mail: norms@chpca.net, Fax: (613) 241-3986

Copyright © 2002, Canadian Hospice Palliative Care Association. All Rights Reserved.

ISBN: 1-896495-17-6

Second Printing: June 2004

URL Updates: August 2005

Acknowledgements

The Canadian Hospice Palliative Care Association would like to thank the hundreds, if not thousands, of individuals and organizations who generously supported and participated in the national consensus-building process over the last decade. Without their support, and the support and partnership of the 11 provincial hospice palliative care associations ([see listing on the back cover](#)), this model would not have been possible. We have tried to be as inclusive and accurate as possible. Any omission is purely an error. Please notify CHPCA so we can include your name in subsequent printings.

Production of the Guide

Editor: Jean Bacon, Toronto, ON.

Translation: We wish to acknowledge the tremendous effort of the translation team: Justine Farley, Montréal, QC, Claude Lamontagne, Québec, QC, Louis Roy, Québec, QC, Marie-Claire Bessens, Lynda Gagnon, Kathy Irwin, and Marie-Josée Lambert, Ottawa, ON.

Office Support: We wish to acknowledge the generous collaboration of Greg Adams, Sharon Baxter and Janet Dunbrack in the CHPCA National Office, Ottawa, ON.

Graphics: Tim Chisser, San Diego Hospice, San Diego, CA.

Assistance with Internet Data Collection

Les Morgan, Growth House Inc., San Francisco, CA.

CHPCA Standards Committee

Ina Cummings, MD, Halifax, NS (Chair, 1993-1994)

Frank D. Ferris, MD, San Diego Hospice, San Diego, CA (Chair, 1994-2002)

Revisions Workgroup

Heather M. Balfour (Co-chair), BA (Adv), Saskatchewan Health, Regina, SK

Karen Bowen, MD, San Diego Hospice, San Diego, CA

Justine Farley, MD, Centre hospitalier de St. Mary, Montréal, QC

Marsha Hardwick, RN, BScN, CON(C), Consultant, York Region, ON

Claude Lamontagne, MD, Maison Michel Sarrazin, Québec, QC

Marilyn Lundy, RN, PHN, Saint Elizabeth Health Care, Toronto, ON

Ann Syme, RN, MSN, British Columbia Cancer Agency, Victoria, BC

Pamela West, RN, MSc, ACNP, CON(C), Lakeridge Health Corporation, Oshawa, ON

Other Members

Colleen Bredin, St. Catherines, ON

Velda Clark, Regina, SK

Pam Brown, Calgary, AB

Drew M. Ferrari, Calgary, ON

Lois Brummet, Vancouver, BC

Marg Fitch, Toronto, ON

Gillian Fyles, Kelowna, BC
Janet Helmer, Ottawa, ON
Michelle Houle, Welland, ON
Doris Howell, Lisle, ON
Bernice King, Hamilton, ON
Joan MacIsaac, Charlottetown, PE
Mary Anne Mannell, Mississauga, ON
Joan McGuinness, Ottawa, ON
Bertrand Mongodin, Montréal, QC

Pam Otfinowski, Edmonton, AB
Anne Plante, Drummondville, QC
Pat Porterfield, Vancouver, BC
Jane Reid, King, ON
Jerold Rothstein, Victoria, BC
Miriam Rothstein, Victoria, BC
Mary Vachon, Toronto, ON
Kristyn Wilson-Schram, St. Catherines, ON

Advisors

Barry Ashpole, Consultant, Toronto, ON
Ron Cameron, Consultant, Toronto, ON
Joanne Daciuk, Consultant, Toronto, ON
Nancy Gault, Canadian Council on Health Services Accreditation, Ottawa, ON
Elma G. Heidemann, Canadian Council on Health Services Accreditation, Ottawa, ON
Irene Higginson, Kings College, University of London, London, UK
Linda Kristjanson, Perth, Australia

Helen McNeal, Consultant, Washington, DC
True R. Ryndes, National Hospice Work Group and San Diego Hospice, San Diego, CA
Joan Teno, Brown University, Providence, RI
Jane Tipping, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON
The members of the National Hospice Workgroup in the U.S.

Others Who Assisted with the Consensus-building Process

Deborah Adams
Judy Bailey
Bonnie Barry
Marie Bretton
Anne Brignell
Lisa Brown
Margaret Clarke
Linda Crelinsten
Eleanor Davies
Nap Dupuis
John Flannery
Phyllis Footz
Jeff Payne
Catherine Freer
Margaret George

Jillian Ghesquiere
Deborah Green
Youssef Habib
Colleen Harrington-Piekarski
Ella Hingston
Michelle Houle
Nancy Keat
Bernard Lapointe
Sue Loucks
Linda Lysne
Theresa Morris
Carmen Noel
Laurie Anne O'Brien
Marie Palmer

Laurie Poirier
Anne Porteus
Corwyn Rowsell
Judy Simpson
Minta Smart
Sandy Street
Ida Tigchelaar
Edith Tribe
Adrianna Van Zeeland
Ineke Van Zeeland
Eleanor Watt
Kristyn Wilson-Schram

Guide Reviewers

Deborah Adams, MA, Temmy Latner Centre for Palliative Care, Mount Sinai Hospital, Toronto, ON.

Betty Ferrell, RN, PhD, FAAN, City of Hope Medical Center, Duarte, CA

Leslie A. Gaudette, MSc, Centre for Chronic Disease Protection and Control, Health Canada, Ottawa, ON

Myra Glajchen, DSW, Pain Medicine and Palliative Care, Beth Israel Medical Center, New York, NY

Sheila A. Jacob, RN, BSN, Consultant, San Diego, CA

Robert M. Kaplan, PhD, Department of Family and Preventive Medicine, University of California at San Diego, San Diego, CA.

Larry Librach, MD, CCFP, FCFP, Temmy Latner Centre for Palliative Care, Mount Sinai Hospital, Toronto, ON

Jeanne Parker Martin, RN, MPH, The Corridor Group, Inc., San Francisco, CA

William R. Richards, MS, MPH, MBA, Consultant, Pittsburgh, PA

Peter N. Rosen, MD, Sharp Rees-Stealy Medical Group, San Diego, CA

True R. Ryndes, ANP, MPH, National Hospice Work Group and San Diego Hospice, San Diego, CA

Mary L.S. Vachon, RN, PhD, Consultant, Toronto, ON

From San Diego Hospice, San Diego, CA: Blair T. Blum; Noreen A. Carrington, MA, LMFT; Jan E. Cetti, RN, MS; Jackie B. Hay, RN, BSN, MBA; Laurel H. Herbst, MD; Doris A. Howell, MD; Barbara Radice, RN, BSN

Provincial Champions

Alberta

Nancy Summers, Tom Baker Cancer Centre, Calgary, AB

Chantal Vallee, Bonnyville, AB

British Columbia

Judy Capes, British Columbia Hospice Palliative Care Association, Vancouver, BC

Andrea Hoye, Community Care Health Services, Vernon, BC

Sheryl Baugh-Allen, Cobble Hill, BC

Manitoba

Lorena McManus, WRHA Palliative Care Sub Program, Winnipeg, MB

Shannon Pyziak, Interlake Regional Health Authority, Fisher Branch, MB

Newfoundland

Laurie Anne O'Brien, St. Clare's Mercy Hospital, St. John's NF

Judy Applin-Poole, Newfoundland Cancer Treatment and Research Foundation, St. Anthony, NF

New Brunswick

Mary Hitchman, New Brunswick Palliative Care Association, Miramichi, NB

Edith Tribe, Regional Hospital Centre, Bathurst, NB

Nova Scotia

Heather Jewers, Antigonish, NS

Janet Carver, Queens General Hospital, Liverpool, NS

Ontario

Tiiu Ambus, Toronto District Health Council, Toronto, ON

Dan Dempster, Hospice Association of Ontario, Toronto, ON

Beth Ellis, Dr. Bob Kemp Hospice, Stoney Creek, ON

Liliane Locke, Ottawa University Institute of Palliative Care, Ottawa, ON

Connie McCallum, Hotel Dieu Health Sciences Hospital – Niagara, St. Catherines, ON

Marie Palmer, Grey Bruce Hospice Association, Chatsworth, ON

Deborah Randall-Wood, Casey House Hospice, Toronto, ON

Pat Van Den Elzen, Palliative Care Services for York Region, Newmarket, ON

Lynda Weaver, Ottawa University Institute of Palliative Care, Ottawa, ON

Prince Edward Island

Valerie Sullivan, Charlottetown, PE

Corinne Rowswell, Charlottetown, PE

Québec

Louis Roy, CHUQ – Hôtel-Dieu de Québec, Québec, QC

Saskatchewan

Peggy Holton, Kindersley, SK

Ronna Richards, Royal University Hospital, Saskatoon, SK

Preface

Since the discovery of penicillin in the 1940s, the experience of illness has been changing. Modern medicine has been very successful fighting disease, other conditions, and aging. In the 21st century, people are living with illness for much longer than ever before. Today, they must deal with many complex issues: How can they get relief from their symptoms? How can they carry on with life as they have known it? How will the illness affect their roles and relationships? What can be done to change the illness experience? How can they restore or maintain their capacity for meaningful and valuable experiences that give quality to their lives? ¹

Each of these issues creates expectations, needs, hopes and fears, which must be addressed in order for the ill person to adapt, continue living, and find opportunities for growth. For many years, the approach used in hospice palliative care has helped patients and their families address these issues while they were dying. Now, all the skills and strengths developed in hospice palliative care can be applied throughout the experience of illness and bereavement to help patients and families improve the quality of their lives, increase their ability to participate in therapy to fight their disease and, potentially, prolong their lives.

The practice of hospice palliative care is relatively young. In Canada, it began in the 1970s and has evolved rapidly. The term “hospice palliative care” was coined to recognize the convergence of hospice and palliative care into one movement that has the same principles and norms of practice.

In a consensus-building process led by the Standards Committee of the Canadian Hospice Palliative Care Association, providers, organizations and consumers joined to share their experiences and develop a clear vision for hospice palliative care that everyone could use. The resulting model represents more than 10 years of collaboration by individuals, committees, associations and governments across Canada and is based on the nationally accepted principles and norms of practice. This document includes the:

- rationale for a national model, and the process used to develop it
- definition, values, guiding principles and foundational concepts that form the basis for hospice palliative care
- frameworks, principles and norms of practice to guide patient/family care, and organizational development and function
- application of the model to other activities, such as education, quality management, research, policy and funding, and consumer advocacy and marketing.

Everyone is encouraged to use the model to guide all activities related to hospice palliative care, and develop local standards of practice. Ultimately, it is hoped that instead of being seen as “care for the dying,” hospice palliative care will be known as “care that aims to relieve suffering and improve quality of life throughout the illness and bereavement experience, so that patients and families can realize their full potential to live even when they are dying”.

OVERVIEW OF THE MODEL TO GUIDE HOSPICE PALLIATIVE CARE

BACKGROUND

Changing Illness and Bereavement Experiences

Multiple Domains of Issues Cause Suffering and ↓ Quality of Life

1. Disease Management
2. Physical
3. Psychological
4. Social
5. Spiritual
6. Practical
7. End-of-life Care/ Death Management
8. Loss, Grief

DEFINITION OF HOSPICE PALLIATIVE CARE

- Values
- Principles
- Effective Communication
- Effective Group Function
- Change Strategies

GUIDE TO PATIENT & FAMILY CARE

- Square of Care
- Principles & Norms of Practice
- Preferred Practice Guidelines
- Data Collection/ Documentation Guidelines

GUIDE TO ORGANIZATIONAL DEVELOPMENT & FUNCTION

- Mission & Vision
- Square of Organization
- Principles & Norms of Practice

APPLICATIONS

- Clinicians/ administrators
- Quality managers
- Policy makers
- Researchers (clinical)
- Advocates (consumer)

- Administrators/ clinicians building organizations
- Careteam leaders
- Quality managers
- Policy makers
- Researchers (systems)
- Advocates (systems)

Table of Contents

Acknowledgements	i
Production of the Guide	i
Assistance with Internet Data Collection	i
CHPCA Standards Committee	i
Advisors.....	ii
Others Who Assisted with the Consensus-building Process.....	ii
Guide Reviewers.....	iii
Provincial Champions.....	iii
Preface	v
Table of Contents	vii
Table of Figures	x
Background	1
Why a Standardized Approach to Hospice Palliative Care?	1
Why a National Model for Hospice Palliative Care?	2
The Process of Developing a National Consensus-based Model	4
Phase 1 – Committee Consensus (1993-1995)	4
Phase 2 – National Consensus (1995-2000)	5
Phase 3 – National Consensus (2000-2001)	5
Why Norms Instead of Standards of Practice?	6
Projected Improvement in Practice	7
References	8
The Model to Guide Hospice Palliative Care	11
I. The Understanding of Health and Illness Underlying the Model	12
The Illness Experience.....	12
Who is Affected by the Illness.....	13
Multiple Complex Issues.	14
Associated Expectations.	14
The Health Care System's Response.	14
References Part I.	16
II. Hospice Palliative Care: Definition, Values, Principles and Foundational Concepts	17
Definition of Hospice Palliative Care	17
The Role of Hospice Palliative Care During Illness	18
Values	19
Guiding Principles	19
Foundational Concepts	21
FC1. Effective Communication	21
FC2. Effective Group Function	22
FC3. Ability to Facilitate Change.....	23
References Part II	24
III. The Model to Guide Patient and Family Care	25
The Process of Providing Hospice Palliative Care	25
The Square of Care: A Conceptual Framework	27
Elaboration of the Square of Care.....	27
Principles and Norms of Practice	28
1. Assessment	28

2. Information-sharing.....	30
3. Decision-making	31
4. Care Planning	33
5. Care Delivery	34
6. Confirmation	37
Preferred Practice Guidelines.....	38
Data Collection/Documentation Guidelines	38
Principles Guiding Data Collection.....	38
References Part III	39
IV. The Model to Guide Organization Development and Function	41
The Nature of Hospice Palliative Care Organizations.....	41
Mission and Vision Statements	42
Sample Mission Statement.....	42
Sample Vision Statement	42
Resources	43
Principle and Basic Functions	44
Square of Organization: A Conceptual Framework	45
Principles and Norms of Practice.....	46
7. Governance and Administration	46
8. Planning	47
9. Operations.....	48
10. Quality Management (Evaluation)	51
11. Communications/Marketing	52
V. Application of the Model	53
The Integrated Square of Care and Organization.....	54
1. Applying the Model to Clinical Care	56
Providing Hospice Palliative Care.....	56
The Development of a Therapeutic Relationship	57
Phases of a Therapeutic Relationship.....	58
Assessment.....	58
Information-sharing	59
Decision-making.....	59
Care Planning.....	60
Care Delivery	60
Confirmation.....	61
Development of Principles and Standards of Practice.....	62
Development of Policies and Procedures, Standards for Data Collection/Documentation ...	62
Other Clinical Applications	63
2. Applying the Model to Organizational Development and Function.....	64
Initial Idea	64
Phase 1: Prepare to Plan.....	66
Phase 2: Plan the Organization	66
Strategic Planning.....	66
<i>Define the Organization.....</i>	<i>66</i>
<i>Define Its Principle Activities.....</i>	<i>67</i>
<i>Define Its Clinical Services.....</i>	<i>67</i>
Potential Types of Care.....	67
Potential Service Delivery Models.....	68
Settings of Care.....	68
<i>Developmental Strategy.....</i>	<i>68</i>
Business Planning.....	69
Sell the Proposal.....	69
Phase 3: Implement and Run the Organization.....	70

Phase 4: Review the Organization Annually and Revise the Plan.....	72
Organizational Labelling.....	72
3. Applying the Model to Careteam and Regional Team Development and Function	73
Careteam Development and Function.....	73
Phase 1: Prepare to Plan.....	74
Phase 2: Plan.....	74
Phase 3: Implementation of the Plan.....	75
Phase 4: Review and Revise the Plan (Quality Management)	76
Phase 5: Adjourn.....	76
4. Applying the Model to Quality Management.....	77
Standards of Practice , Policies and Procedures, Standards for Data Collection/Development.....	77
Outcome Assessment	77
Performance Improvement	78
Accreditation	80
Standards of Professional Conduct.....	80
5. Applying the Model to Education.....	81
Medical Schools in Canada and the U.S.	81
Residency and Training Programs in the U.S.....	82
Continuing Medical Education Programs.....	82
6. Applying the Model to Policy Development	83
Population Data Surveillance Strategies	83
New Policy, Regulation, Law, Funding or Service Delivery Models	84
Minimum /Licensure Standards of Practice.....	84
7. Applying the Model to Search	85
8. Applying the Model to Advocacy and Communications/Marketing	86
Advocacy	86
Communications/Marketing.....	86
Conclusion	86
References Part V.....	88
Appendices.....	91
Lexicon of Commonly Used Terms	91
Additional Resources.....	97
Square of Care.....	99
Square of Care and Organization	100
Square of Organization	102
Further Information	103
Reproduction.....	103
Attribution/Citation Statement.....	103
Information/ Additional Copies	103

Table of Figures

1: Application of a Model to Guide Hospice Palliative Care	3
2: Normative vs. Minimum Standard of Practice	6
3: Projected Improvement in Practice	7
4: Divergence from the Path of Life.....	13
5: Patient and Family	13
6: Changing the Experience of Illness	14
7: Domains of Issues Associated with Illness and Bereavement	15
8: The Role of Hospice Palliative Care During Illness	18
9: Groups in Hospice Palliative Care	23
10: Essential and Basic Steps During a Therapeutic Encounter.....	26
11: The Square of Care.....	27
12: The Principal Activities of Hospice Palliative Care	41
13: Resources to Support Hospice Palliative Care.....	43
14: Hospice Palliative Care Principal and Basic Functions	44
15: The Square of Organization.....	45
16: Interrelationship of the Square of Care and the Square of Organization.....	54
17: The Square of Care and Organization.....	55
18: Provider Roles in Hospice Palliative Care.....	56
19: Successive Encounters During the Development of a Therapeutic Relationship	57
20: Variation in Assessment by Phase of Relationship	58
21: Variation in Information-sharing by Phase of Relationship	59
22: Variation in Decision-making by Phase of Relationship	59
23: Variation in Care Planning by Phase of Relationship.....	60
24: Variation in Care Delivery by Phase of Relationship	60
25: Variation in Confirmation by Phase of Relationship	61
26: Development of Principles and Standards of Practice.....	62
27: Development of Policies and Procedures, Standards for Data Collection/Documentation	62
28: Planning Process for a Hospice Palliative Care Organization.....	65
29: Sample Table of Standards of Practice, Policies and Procedures, Standards for Data Collection and Documentation for a Specific Issue	71
30: Patient/Family Careteam	73
31: The Life Cycle of a Careteam	76
32: Comparison of Data from Successive Therapeutic Encounters.....	78
33: Quality Review Cycles	79
34: Review Frequency for Different Strategies.....	79
35: Minimum Standards of Practice	84

Background

This section describes the rationale for a standardized approach to hospice palliative care, for a national model, and for the use of “norms” rather than “standards” of practice. It also describes the consensus process used to develop the model over the last 10 years.

Why a Standardized Approach to Hospice Palliative Care?

Canada’s first hospice palliative care programs developed in the 1970s to respond to the needs of the dying. These programs were largely individual grass roots initiatives that have gradually evolved into a cohesive movement that aims to relieve suffering and improve quality of life for those who are living with or dying from an illness. Yet there continues to be considerable variability in the quality and availability of hospice palliative care. Although there are more than 600 programs across the country that deliver hospice palliative care, only a small proportion of Canadians living with a life-threatening illness have access to these programs. Many of the existing programs are not comprehensive, and are unable to address all of the issues faced by patients and families.

To ensure that all Canadians have access to consistent, high quality care that can relieve suffering and improve quality of life, Canada needs a more standardized approach to hospice palliative care. With this kind of approach, individual caregivers and organizations will be more consistent and effective at identifying patient and family issues, the care required to manage each issue, and the resources and functions needed to develop and manage hospice palliative care organizations.²

A standardized approach to hospice palliative care will help to:

- ensure all caregivers and staff are knowledgeable and skilled, and have the support they need to fulfill their roles
- reveal any gaps in care and encourage organizations to expand their services or develop partnerships with other healthcare providers to fill these gaps
- ensure each healthcare organization manages its activities, resources and functions in a manner consistent with its approach to care delivery.

While standardization encourages consistency, it doesn’t imply uniformity. Organizations will continue to differ in the ways they develop and function, and in the policies, procedures, and data collection/documentation strategies they develop to guide their provision of hospice palliative care.


Why a National Model for Hospice Palliative Care?

A national model for hospice palliative care is a tool to guide all activities related to it. When developed in consultation with experts across the country, and based on patient and family issues/needs (as opposed to existing funding and service delivery models), it creates a shared vision and sets the stage for a consistent, standardized approach to patient and family care, organizational development, education and advocacy across the country.

The consensus-based model developed by the Canadian Hospice Palliative Care Association (CHPCA) presents a lexicon of commonly-used terms, the values on which hospice palliative care is based, the principles and norms of practice, and the conceptual frameworks to guide each of the activities related to it. The model can be used to ([see figure #1 on the next page](#)):

- guide patient and family care provided by both primary and expert caregivers
- guide the development and function of hospice palliative care organizations
- develop core competencies, comprehensive curricula and examinations
- develop accreditation and minimum/licensure standards
- allow organizations to compare (benchmark) their practices to nationally accepted norms of practice, as well as against other hospice palliative care organizations
- guide research in hospice palliative care
- ensure consistency in advocacy, communication and marketing strategies
- promote laws, regulations and policies that facilitate rather than obstruct the provision of hospice palliative care
- develop funding and service delivery strategies that will ensure access to hospice palliative care when needed.

Figure #1: Application of a Model to Guide Hospice Palliative Care



Within the broader health system, a national model for hospice palliative care will:

- increase the credibility and facilitate maturation of this relatively young specialty
- help integrate hospice palliative care into mainstream healthcare
- serve as a model for the development of similar strategies to change other aspects of mainstream healthcare.

The Process of Developing a National Consensus-based Model

Canada's hospice palliative care community has been working for a number of years to develop a more consistent approach to care. In 1981, Health and Welfare Canada published *Palliative Care Service Guidelines* (revised in 1989). In 1989, both the British Columbia Hospice/Palliative Care Association and the Metropolitan Toronto Palliative Care Council (MTPCC) started processes to develop more specific standards of practice. In 1991, the Ontario Palliative Care Association joined the MTPCC process. In 1993, the CHPCA consolidated all of these efforts into one national initiative to develop "norms of practice" that would:

- describe patient/family-centred hospice palliative care
- support the growth of current programs and guide developing programs
- provide a framework to evaluate the outcomes of hospice palliative care
- provide a foundation on which to build strategies to change national, provincial and regional healthcare policies, funding and service delivery systems.

In 1995, the CHPCA process received significant support from the Canadian Special Senate Committee on Euthanasia and Assisted Suicide report, *Of Life and Death*, which recommended that the development and implementation of national hospice palliative care guidelines continue.³

To develop accepted norms of practice that form the basis for a national model, the CHPCA Standards Committee led a nine-year consensus-building process that involved hundreds of participants. The three-phase process, which will be of interest to others trying to build a national consensus on principles and norms of practice, consisted of the following steps:

Phase 1 – Committee Consensus (1993-1995)

The CHPCA Standards Committee:

- reviewed and collated existing standards and available literature⁴
- worked towards, and achieved, a committee consensus
- published *Palliative Care: Towards a Consensus in Standardized Principles of Practice 1995*.⁵

The consensus process was based on principles of group structure/ function:¹

Forming: using provincial association representatives and champions to engage stakeholders to participate

Storming: acknowledging everyone will have different starting points and will need to express her/his opinion

Norming: providing structure and education to guide the process

Performing: using a sequential Delphi process² to reach consensus.

¹ Tuckman B. *Developmental Sequence in Small Groups*. *Psychological Bulletin*, 1965; 63: 384-399.

² Delphi Technique. In: *Nursing research*. Polit DF, Hungler BP (eds). JB Lippincott, 1991, 356-7.

Phase 2 – National Consensus (1995-2000)

The CHPCA Standards Committee:

- distributed more than 5,000 copies of *Palliative Care: Towards a Consensus in Standardized Principles of Practice* to hospice palliative care providers/organizations across Canada
- established a Revisions Workgroup to conduct a consultation/consensus-building process
- established criteria to define consensus (i.e., consensus was achieved when: 75% of the respondents agreed with the concept/wording of a given item; < 10% of the respondents had not responded to the given item; and there were not a lot of consistent comments to the contrary)
- asked each of the 11 provincial hospice palliative care associations to recruit participants and host one or more workshops (17 workshops were held between June 1997 and February 1998)
- analyzed the quantitative and qualitative data from the 706 participants
- determined that consensus had been reached on 70% of the items in the English version, but that the French version needed further review
- summarized the progress to date in *How Close are We to Consensus 1998*⁶ (completing the Phase 2 consultation on the English version)
- asked l'Association québécoise de soins palliatifs to facilitate further review of the French version
- received *Le Rapport Final*⁷ from the Comité des normes de pratique de l'Association québécoise de soins palliatifs in 2000 (completing the consultation on the French version).

Phase 3 – National Consensus (2000-2001)

The CHPCA Standards Committee:


- integrated the outcomes from the English and French consultations, and created a single document based on both sets of norms of practice (the results of each consultation influenced the other)
- revised the 1995 principles document and published the *2001 Proposed Norms of Practice*⁸
- developed an online questionnaire and a data collection tool which were posted on the CHPCA web site to gather feedback on the proposed norms of practice
- developed a team of champions to help disseminate the proposed norms, engage the hospice palliative care community in discussing them, and collect the feedback data
- analyzed the quantitative and qualitative data from 419 respondents
- determined that consensus had been reached on all of the items
- synthesized the experience and the feedback, and evolved the national model for hospice palliative care presented in this guide⁹
- had the model and this guide approved by the CHPCA Board of Directors (which includes the 11 provincial hospice palliative care associations in Canada).

Why Norms Instead of Standards of Practice?

At the core of the model are “norms of practice.” Norms are simple statements that present the “usual” or “average” practice for hospice palliative caregivers and organizations. Norms are less specific or rigid than standards (which are defined as measurable conditions or states used as a basis for assessing quality and quantity).

As the following diagram illustrates, norms of practice are different from minimum standards (which typically describe the “floor” or minimum level of care that is acceptable, e.g., the US Medicare Hospice Benefit Conditions of Participation¹⁰). Norms set a higher level to which organizations can and should aspire.

Figure #2: Normative vs. Minimum Standard of Practice



While norms are different from standards, organizations can use the norms to establish their own standards of practice, and to guide patient, family and public expectations. For example, the norm for response times is:

“Requests for initial evaluation and ongoing follow-up are responded to within acceptable time frames.”

Based on that norm, an organization will develop a more specific standard of practice that will establish the minimum requirements to be met at all times:

“Requests for initial evaluation are responded to within 48 hours.”


“Requests for ongoing follow-up are responded to within 12 hours.”

The model provides one or more norms of practice for each step in the process of providing care, and each aspect of an organization’s function. The norms in this first iteration of the model are not as specific as some might expect. This is because, in the early stages of a consensus process, it is neither possible nor desirable to be specific. As organizations use the norms, they will test them and gather evidence that can be used to refine the norms to be more specific and reflect actual and desired levels of practice.

Projected Improvement in Practice

When organizations first start to use the model, there will most likely be considerable variability in current "normal" practice. With time it is anticipated that "normal" practice will improve and variability will diminish as organizations gain experience with the model. While most hospice palliative care organizations will aspire to the suggested norms of practice, there will always be organizations that vary from "normal" practice.

Figure #3: Projected Improvement in Practice



References

URLs were last updated August 2005.

¹ Cassel E. The nature of suffering and the goals of medicine. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 1982; 306: 639-645.

² Shine KI. *Health Care Quality and How To Achieve It*. New York, NY: Milbank Memorial Fund, 2002.

³ *Of life and death, report of the special senate committee on euthanasia and assisted suicide*. Ottawa, ON, Government of Canada, June 1995, page ix.

⁴ **Resources reviewed during the development of the 1995 Principles of Practice:**

Canada

Palliative care services in hospitals, guidelines. Report of the Working Group on Special Services in Hospitals. Ottawa, Ontario: National Health and Welfare, 1981.

Palliative care service guidelines. Report of the Subcommittee on Institutional Program Guidelines. Ottawa, Ontario: Health and Welfare Canada, 1989.

Acute care and large community and teaching hospitals. Ottawa, Ontario: Canadian Council on Health Facilities Accreditation, 1992, PCP-1 – PCP-20.

Standards for acute care organizations, a client centred approach; and, Standards for cancer treatment centres, a client centred approach. Ottawa, Ontario: Canadian Council on Health Services Accreditation, 1995.

Guidelines for the establishment of palliative care services. Halifax, Nova Scotia: Nova Scotia Department of Health and Fitness, 1988.

Van Bommel H. Palliative care standards – who decides? *Pain Management Newsletter* 1991; 4 (3): 3–5.

Latimer EJ, Dawson HR. Palliative care: principles and practice. *CMAJ* 1993; 148 (6): 933-4.

Nixon M. Guidelines for medical care in long-term care facilities. *Canadian Family Physician* 1994; 40: 1324–5.

Clemenhagen C. Patient satisfaction: the power of an untapped resource. *CMAJ* 1994; 150 (11): 1771–2.

United States

Hospice self-assessment and survey guide. Chicago, Illinois: Joint Commission on Accreditation of Hospitals, 1983.

Miller SC. *A medical record handbook for hospice programs. Assuring high quality care through high quality documentation*. Chicago, Illinois: Foundation of Record Education of the American Medical Record Association, 1984.

Hospice standards manual. Chicago, Illinois: Joint Commission on Accreditation of Hospitals, 1986.

Monitoring and evaluation of the quality and appropriateness of care: an example for a hospice program. Chicago, Illinois: Joint Commission on Accreditation of Hospitals, 1986.

Monitoring and evaluation of the quality and appropriateness of care: a home care example. Chicago, Illinois: Joint Commission on Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations, 1988.

Standards for the accreditation of home care. Chicago, Illinois: Joint Commission on Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations, 1988.

Standards of a hospice program of care. Arlington, Virginia: The National Hospice Organization, 1993.

United Kingdom

Harper R, Ward AWM, Westlake L, Williams BT. So Birchester needs a hospice ... a guide for those concerned with the establishment and operation of hospices. Sheffield, England: Department of Community Medicine, University of Sheffield, 1989.

Palliative care core standards. Derby, England: Trent Hospice Audit Group, 1992.

Higginson I. Quality, standards, organisational and clinical audit for hospice and palliative care services. London, England: National Council for Hospice and Specialist Palliative Care Services, 1992.

Higginson I (ed.). Clinical audit in palliative care. New York, New York: Radcliffe Medical Press, 1993.

The Royal College of Nursing Palliative Nursing Group and Hospice Nurse Managers' Forum. Standards of care for palliative nursing. London, England: The Royal College of Nursing, 1993.

Finlay I. Setting standards for palliative care. J Royal Society of Medicine, 1994; 87: 179–181.

International Work Group on Death, Dying and Bereavement

International Work Group on Death, Dying and Bereavement. Assumptions and principles underlying standards for terminal care. American J Nursing Feb. 1979: 296–7.

Psychological Work Group of the International Work Group on Death, Dying and Bereavement. A statement of assumptions and principles concerning psychological care of dying persons and their families. J Palliative Care 1993; 9 (3): 29-32.

Corr CA, Morgan JD, Wass H. Statements about Death, Dying and Bereavement. London, Ontario: International Work Group on Death, Dying and Bereavement, 1994.

⁵ Ferris FD, Cummings I. Palliative Care: Towards a consensus in standardized principles of practice. Ottawa, ON: Canadian Palliative Care Association, 1995.

⁶ Ferris FD, Balfour HM, Adams D, Hardwick M. How Close are We to Consensus? Ottawa, ON: Canadian Palliative Care Association, 1998.

⁷ Farley J, Girard S, Grenier L, Lamontagne C, Langevin J, Lavallée O, Lavoie P, Plante A. Le rapport final. Montréal, QC: Association québécoise de soins palliatifs, 2000. As published in Bulletin "special" de l'Association québécoise de soins palliatifs, February 2001.

⁸ Ferris FD, Balfour HM, Farley J, Hardwick M, Lamontagne C, Lundy M, Syme A, West P. 2001 Proposed Norms of Practice for Hospice Palliative Care. Ottawa, ON: Canadian Palliative Care Association, 2001.

⁹ Additional resources reviewed during the development of the 2002 Model to Guide Hospice Palliative Care:

Canada

Client Service Standards for Volunteer Hospice Visiting Service. Toronto, ON: Hospice Association of Ontario, 1998.

The Caring Community: A Field Book for Hospice Palliative Care Services. Vancouver, BC: British Columbia Hospice and Palliative Care Association, 1999.

United States

Competencies and Curricular Guidelines for End-of-Life Nursing Care. Washington, DC: American Association of Colleges of Nursing, 1997.

AGS Ethics Committee. Position Statement: The Care of Dying Patients. New York, NY: The American Geriatrics Society (AGS), 1998.

Task Force on Cancer Care at the End of Life. Cancer Care During the Last Phases of Life. Alexandria, VA: American Society of Clinical Oncology, 1998. Published in J Clin Oncol 16:1986-1996.

Standards for Hospice Care for Children. Alexandria, VA: Children's Hospice International (CHI), 1993.

End-of-Life Care Standards of Practice for Inmates in Correctional Settings. Alexandria, VA: The Grace Project, Volunteers of America.

Pain Standards. Oakbrook Terrace, IL: Joint Commission on the Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations, 2001.

Phillips DF, Sabatino C, Long KN. Compendium of Guidelines and Position Statements. Washington, DC: Last Acts, 2001.

Last Acts Palliative Care Task Force. Precepts of Palliative Care. Washington, DC: Last Acts, 1997.

Cassel CK, Foley, KM. Principles for Care of Patients at the End of Life: An Emerging Consensus among the Specialties of Medicine. New York, NY: Milbank Memorial Fund, 1999.

Standards and Accreditation Committees. Standards of Practice for Hospice Programs. Alexandria, VA: National Hospice and Palliative Care Organization, 2000. See item # 711077.

Australia

The Standards and Quality Committee. Standards for Palliative Care Provision. Deakin, ACT: Palliative Care Australia, 1999.

New Zealand

The New Zealand Palliative Care Strategy. Wellington, NZ: Ministry of Health, 2001.

¹⁰ Conditions of Participation, Hospice Care. Washington, DC: Health Care Financing Administration, US Department of Health and Human Services, Title 42, Chapter IV, Part 418.

A Model to Guide Hospice Palliative Care

An Overview of the Model

The model is built on an understanding of health, the illness and bereavement experiences, and the role hospice palliative care plays in relieving suffering and improving quality of life. It was developed to guide both:

- the process of providing care to patients and families through both the illness and bereavement experiences
- the development and function of hospice palliative care organizations.

The guide to the model is divided into five parts:

- I. The underlying understanding of health and illness ([see page 12](#))
- II. The definition of hospice palliative care and the values, principles and foundational concepts that underlie all aspects of hospice palliative care ([see page 17](#))
- III. A guide to the delivery of hospice palliative care to patients and families, including a conceptual framework – the “**Square of Care**” – which ([see page 25](#)):
 - identifies the issues commonly faced by patients and families during an illness
 - identifies the essential and basic steps in the process of providing care
 - presents the principles and norms of practice related to each element of the process of providing care during a clinical encounter
- IV. A guide to organizational development and function, including a conceptual framework – the “**Square of Organization**” – which ([see page 41](#)):
 - identifies the resources required to operate a hospice palliative care organization
 - identifies the principal functions of an organization
 - presents the principles and norms of practice related to each aspect of organizational function
- V. A guide to applying the model to all aspects of hospice palliative care ([see page 53](#))